

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara*): What are the views of the Education Advisory Board on the resolutions referred to in the question?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): Resolution No. 3 recommended that permanent teachers in private schools should not be dismissed without the previous approval of the Director. There was very long discussion on this; and the principle of the resolution was finally approved, by a narrow majority.

Resolution No. 5 complained about the delay in the disposal of appeals from teachers dismissed from schools. The Board was informed by the Director that even now there was no avoidable delay and that some delay was inevitable.

With regard to resolution No. 9, complaining about the delay in the issue of grant memos, the Board accepted the view of the Director that the memos could not be issued before the end of Kanni or the middle of Thulam, since the Budget had to be sanctioned by the Legislature and money had to be distributed among the Divisional Inspectors.

With regard to resolution No. 11, requesting that the Vernacular School teachers also should be included in the Provident Fund Scheme, it was agreed that a Provident Fund or Insurance scheme may be formulated. The Department has been requested to explore the matter further.

MR. K. R. ELENKATH (*Kalkulam I*): May I know the date on which these resolutions were placed before the Board?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): In October; I do not remember the date.

MR. K. R. ELENKATH (*Kalkulam I*): Has any action been taken by the Director on the decisions of the Board?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): Not yet.

Trained Graduates in the Vernacular Section of the Education Department.

4. *MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) the number of trained graduates in the Vernacular Section of the Education Department who have not been given even acting appointments in the graduate scale;

(b) whether preference is given to the persons referred to in part (a), when appointments are made in the graduate scale; and

(c) if the answer to part (b) is in the negative, the reasons for the same?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*):

(a) 10.

(b) Yes, over outsiders with the same qualifications.

(c) Does not arise.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara*): May I know whether there are outsiders who have been entertained in the Vernacular section in the undergraduate scale?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): There may be.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara*): The answer says that preference is given over outsiders with the same qualification. I want to know whether the service in the undergraduate scale in the Vernacular section is considered as a qualification for this purpose of giving preference. What is the kind of qualification that is taken into consideration in giving this preference?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): University qualifications.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara*): Are those in the English section considered as outsiders for this purpose?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): No; they are insiders.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum—Rural*): Are all graduates treated alike? Is there any distinction between first class, second class and third class graduates?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): A very real distinction is made. Outsiders with higher qualifications are often preferred.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum—Rural*): Am I to understand that all graduates are not treated alike?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): No.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum—Rural*): 'No' means what? Are they treated alike or are they not?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Ag. Director of Public Instruction*): They are not treated alike.

Misappropriation of money by Official Receivers in the District Courts.

5. *MR. S. J. NAIR (*Nedumangad*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether they are aware that the Official Receivers in District Courts misappropriate profits accrued from the property of the insolvents;

(b) whether they are aware that the Official Receiver of the Trivandrum District Court has misappropriated money which was the rental profit of a motor car involved in I. P. 46 of 1098;

(c) if the answer to part (b) is in the affirmative, the amount that the Official Receiver has misappropriated in the case;

(d) who was the Official Receiver then;

(e) what he is now;

(f) what action the Court or the Government have taken against the Official Receiver and

(g) whether the amount misappropriated was realised by the Court from the Official Receiver?